

## Danner, Ward

---

**From:** Carmen Santos <Santos.Carmen@epamail.epa.gov>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 27, 2013 12:36 PM  
**To:** Santos, Carmen  
**Subject:** Fw: PCBs - Aspire Site, Follow Up to December 10, 2009 Conference Call - Cleanup Level

Carmen D. Santos  
PCB Coordinator  
RCRA Corrective Action Office (WST-5)  
Waste Management Division  
USEPA Region 9  
415.972.3360  
[santos.carmen@epa.gov](mailto:santos.carmen@epa.gov)

*"Think left and think right and think low and think high. Oh, the things you can think up if only you try!" Dr. Seuss*

\*\*\*\*\*

[This e-mail message, including any attachments, may contain non-public, privileged and/or confidential information solely intended to be conveyed to the designated recipient(s). If you receive this e-mail message and are not an intended recipient, please delete this e-mail message and its attachments immediately. The unauthorized use, dissemination, distribution, or reproduction of this e-mail message and its attachments is strictly prohibited by law.]



**Before printing this e-mail think if it is necessary. Think Green!**

----- Forwarded by Carmen Santos/R9/USEPA/US on 03/27/2013 12:36 PM -----

From: Carmen Santos/R9/USEPA/US  
To: paresh.khatri@acgov.org, MMalinow@dtsc.ca.gov,  
Cc: wilson.patrick@epa.gov  
Date: 12/18/2009 10:38 AM  
Subject: PCBs - Aspire Site, Follow Up to December 10, 2009 Conference Call - Cleanup Level

---

Hello, Paresh and Mark:

Seems I did not copy you on the message below that I had sent to LFR / Aspire concerning the cleanup level for the Aspire school site in Oakland.

After sending to LFR / Aspire the message attached below, EPA had a conference call with Ron Goloubow (LFR) on December 16 to answer questions that LFR had on EPA's reply to LFR's December 11, 2009 message (which is included at the end of the attached message string). In summary, during that conference call, EPA clarified that under the self-implementing PCB cleanup option individual cleanup verification samples must meet for PCBs the cleanup level of 0.13 ppm. Meeting the cleanup level is based on in-situ soil verification sampling data not on statistical analysis of the data. We offered to LFR the option to apply for a risk-based disposal approval for PCBs at the Aspire site in Oakland. LFR / Aspire would need to submit to EPA a letter explaining why LFR / Aspire want to change the conditionally approved PCB self-implementing cleanup plan to a risk-based cleanup plan and to submit the PCB risk-based cleanup application before conducting the cleanup. Such application must be approved by EPA before a party begins the PCB cleanup.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Sincerely,  
Carmen

Carmen D. Santos, Project Manager  
RCRA Corrective Action Office  
Waste Management Division  
USEPA Region 9  
415.972.3360

fax: 415.947.3533

-----Forwarded by Carmen Santos/R9/USEPA/US on 12/18/2009 09:28AM -----

To: "Goloubow, Ron"  
From: Carmen Santos/R9/USEPA/US  
Date: 12/14/2009 10:38AM  
cc: "Gibbs, Alan" , "Goldberg Day, Amy" , Charles Robitaille , Mike Barr , Annie Bauer , Patrick Wilson/R9/USEPA/US@EPA  
Subject: Re: FW: PCBs - Aspire Site, Follow Up to December 10, 2009 Conference Call

Dear Ron Goloubow:

This message reiterates our request for the information that we asked in the December 11, 2009 message (sent to you at 12:02 PM). The use and application of the Agency's Pro-UCL statistical package to support data analysis is consistent with current Agency risk assessment guidance. The use of the Pro-UCL package however, does not mitigate Aspire's responsibility to provide the additional risk assessment supporting information that was contained in my previous message to you. That is, a comprehensive and site-wide conceptual site model (CSM), and the supporting risk assessment exposure and risk characterization equations - in addition to the equation inputs - will be necessary for EPA to complete a timely review.

In addition, samples with contaminant concentrations less than the laboratory detection or reporting limit(s) should be managed consistent with the guidelines found in the Pro-UCL support guidance. That is, the statistical package will conduct an evaluation of the entire data set to determine its statistical distribution. A distribution-specific upper confidence limit on the mean (UCLm) will then be reported and should then be used as the exposure point concentration (EPC) in support of risk characterization. Pro-UCL will use boot-strap and other statistical methods to approximate the most appropriate concentration value to be substituted for those samples with PCB concentrations less than the laboratory reporting or detection limit. Therefore, the substitution of non-detect sample results with the reporting limit is not the recommended approach.

We look forward to receiving the requested information.

Thank you for your courtesies.

Sincerely,

Carmen D. Santos, Project Manager  
RCRA Corrective Action Office  
Waste Management Division  
USEPA Region 9  
415.972.3360  
fax: 415.947.3533

"Goloubow, Ron" ---12/11/2009 02:28:17 PM---Per our conversation yesterday, LFR is in the process of applying the 95% upper confidence level sta

From: "Goloubow, Ron"  
To: Carmen Santos/R9/USEPA/US@EPA, Patrick Wilson/R9/USEPA/US@EPA, "Khatri, Paresh, Env. Health" , Mark Malinowski  
Cc: "Gibbs, Alan" , "Goldberg Day, Amy" , Charles Robitaille , Mike Barr , Annie Bauer  
Date: 12/11/2009 02:28 PM  
Subject: FW: PCBs - Aspire Site, Follow Up to December 10, 2009 Conference Call

---

Per our conversation yesterday, LFR is in the process of applying the 95% upper confidence level statistical analysis (95-UCL) to the analytical data for the soil samples that contain PCBs greater than 0.13 mg/kg and less than 0.39 mg/kg that would remain in soil at the Site. For samples that have less than the laboratory reporting limit we are planning to use the laboratory reporting limit as a concentration of PCBs that are left in place at that particular location. The US EPA statistical software ProUCL will be used to calculate the 95% UCL.

If this analysis determines that the 95-UCL is  $\leq 0.13$  mg/kg for soil across the Site would this analysis provide the data required to deem the removal action as successful?

Please let me know.

Ron Goloubow, P.G.  
LFR Inc., an ARCADIS Company  
510-596-9550 Direct Dial  
510-501-1789 Cell  
510-652-4906 Facsimile  
[ron.goloubow@lfr.com](mailto:ron.goloubow@lfr.com)

**From:** Santos.Carmen@epamail.epa.gov [ <mailto:Santos.Carmen@epamail.epa.gov> ]

**Sent:** Friday, December 11, 2009 12:02 PM

**To:** Goloubow, Ron; Gibbs, Alan

**Cc:** Annie Bauer; Mike Barr; Mark Malinowski; Khatri, Paresh, Env. Health; Charles Robitaille; Wilson.Patrick@epamail.epa.gov

**Subject:** PCBs - Aspire Site, Follow Up to December 10, 2009 Conference Call

Dear Ron Goloubow and Alan Gibbs:

I am following up on the issue of Aspire continuing with the conditionally-approved PCB self-implementing cleanup notification rather than submitting a PCB risk-based disposal approval. Our November 13, 2009 conditional approval letter establishes a cleanup goal for PCBs of 0.13 mg/kg (total Aroclors) for the Aspire school site in Oakland - a level consistent with the cleanup goal proposed in your corrective action plan and a concentration previously approved by the Alameda County Department of Health (ACDH).

I want to clarify that if Aspire decides to propose a different cleanup level, that Aspire may make such proposal via an amendment to the current self-implementing cleanup notification as long as: (1) all exposure assessment and risk characterization calculations and inputs, a site-wide conceptual site model (CSM), and all supporting justifications are submitted to USEPA for review and approval, (2) the proposed PCB risk-based cleanup level does not increase the site-wide cumulative risk or hazard of applicable contaminants at the site beyond a risk range acceptable to ACDH, DTSC School Program, and USEPA, and (3) ACDH, DTSC's School Program, and USEPA agree that the proposed cleanup level is adequate and protective.

Please call me if you have any questions concerning this follow up message.

Thank you for your courtesies and have a nice day.

Sincerely,

Carmen D. Santos, Project Manager  
RCRA Corrective Action Office  
Waste Management Division  
USEPA Region 9  
415.972.3360  
fax: 415.947.3533

---

NOTICE: This e-mail and any files transmitted with it are the property of ARCADIS U.S., Inc. and its affiliates. All rights, including without limitation copyright, are reserved. The proprietary information contained in this e-mail message, and any files transmitted with it, is intended for the use of the recipient(s) named above. If the reader of this e-mail is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this e-mail in error and that any review, distribution or copying of this e-mail or any files transmitted with it is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete the original message and any files transmitted. The unauthorized use of this e-mail or any files transmitted with it is prohibited and disclaimed by ARCADIS U.S., Inc. and its affiliates. Nothing herein is intended to constitute the offering or performance of services where otherwise restricted by law.